



**Total Resource and Energy Efficiency
Management System for Process Industries**

Deliverable 1.5

Lessons Learned and Updated Requirements Report

Date: 28/02/2017

WP1 Requirements Engineering

T1.5 Evolutionary Requirements Elicitation

Dissemination Level: Public

Website project: www.maestri-spire.eu



Total Resource and Energy Efficiency Management System for Process Industries



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 680570

The sole responsibility for the content of this document lies with the authors. It does not necessarily reflect the opinion of the European Union. Neither the EASME nor the European Commission are responsible for any use that may be made of the information contained therein.

Legal Notice: The information in this document is subject to change without notice. The Members of the project consortium make no warranty of any kind with regard to this document, including, but not limited to, the implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. The Members of the project consortium shall not be held liable for errors contained herein or direct, indirect, special, incidental or consequential damages in connection with the furnishing, performance, or use of this material. Possible inaccuracies of information are under the responsibility of the project. This report reflects solely the views of its authors. The European Commission is not liable for any use that may be made of the information contained therein.

Authors and Contributors

Name: Alexander Schneider
Organisation: Fraunhofer FIT

Name: Otilia Werner-Kytölä
Organisation: Fraunhofer FIT

Name: Gunnar Große Hovest
Organisation: ATB

Name: Emanuel Lourenco
Organisation: INEGI

Name: Antonio Baptista
Organisation: INEGI

Name: Eduardo Joao Silva
Organisation: ISQ

Name: Zofia Masluszczak
Organisation: LEI

Name: Maria Holgado
Organisation: UCAM

Name: Ricardo A. Rato
Organisation: ISQ

Name: Enrico Ferrera
Organisation: ISMB

Document history

| VERSION | DATE | AUTHOR | DESCRIPTION |
|---------|------------|----------------------------|---|
| 0.1 | 20.10.2016 | Otilia Werner-Kytölä (FIT) | Initial version |
| 1.0 | 31.10.2016 | Alexander Schneider (FIT) | Final version |
| 1.1 | 28.02.2017 | Alexander Schneider (FIT) | Updated list of requirements from M18 |
| 1.2 | 02.03.2017 | Otilia Werner-Kytölä (FIT) | Executive summary included, chapter 4 introduction, list of contributors |
| 1.3 | 03.03.2017 | Otilia Werner-Kytölä (FIT) | Made changes to contain ATB's review comments and suggestions for improvement. Incorporated changes and improvements in chapter 2 resulting from discussion with ISQ. |
| 2.0 | 03.03.2017 | Otilia Werner-Kytölä (FIT) | Changes from ISMB's review, final version. |

Internal review history

| REVIEWED BY | DATE | DESCRIPTION |
|--|------------|--|
| Kevin Nagorny, Gunnar Große Hovest (ATB) | 02.03.2017 | Internal review by ATB, accepted with minor comments |
| Enrico Ferrera (ISMB) | 03.03.2017 | Accepted with minor comments |

Document details

| FILE NAME | VERSION |
|--|---------|
| D1.5 Lessons Learned and Updated Requirements Report v2.0.docx | 2.0 |

| DOCUMENT OWNER | ORGANISATION |
|---------------------|----------------|
| Alexander Schneider | Fraunhofer FIT |

Executive Summary

The requirements elicitation in MAESTRI is carried out applying a user-centred approach (see D1.4 Initial Requirements Report for details). According to this approach, user workshops were carried out at each demonstration site where we interviewed employees involved in the processes that are relevant to the MAESTRI project. From those interviews and the deliverable D1.3 MAESTRI Business Cases we derived a set of functional and non-functional requirements. Within Task 1.5 (Evolutionary Requirements Elicitation) we went on to refine the level of detail of scenarios. The result of this work is presented in chapter 2. Based on the scenarios and on the work done in Task 5.1 (Architecture Design), D5.2 Final MAESTRI Platform Architecture Design & Specification, we have updated existing requirements and generated refined ones. They are presented in chapter 4.

As Task 1.5 evolves, the set of requirements will be updated and extended. D1.6 Lessons Learned and Updated Requirements Report will be based on D1.5.

Table of contents

Executive Summary 5

List of figures and tables 7

1 Introduction 8

 1.1 Purpose, context and scope of this deliverable 8

 1.2 Deliverable Organization 8

2 Scenarios 8

 2.1 Introduction to the scenarios..... 8

 2.2 Scenario 1 – Baseline Calculation..... 8

 2.3 Scenario 2 – ecoPROSYS® Assessment..... 12

 2.4 Scenario 3 – MSM® Improvement 13

3 Lessons Learned 14

4 Updated Requirements List 14

References..... 28

List of figures and tables

| | |
|---|----|
| Table 1 – Eco Orbit View Variables / KPI list outcome (from the company's collaborators/managers/workers point of view) | 9 |
| Table 2 - Data which can be retrieved from machines | 10 |
| Table 3 - Data which can be retrieved from ERP | 11 |
| Table 4 – Set of indicators defined by Pedro to assess and present the results for the injection moulding process..... | 12 |
| Table 5 – List of Requirements..... | 15 |

1 Introduction

1.1 Purpose, context and scope of this deliverable

The purpose of this deliverable is to give an overview of lessons learned and requirements update considering the time between project's start and M18. It is based on the first common source of user requirements for the MAESTRI consortium which was presented in D1.4 as well as on the first version of D1.5, v. 1.0 which was published in M14. Following the initial requirements elicitation, we planned on creating more detailed scenarios that would allow to derive further requirements from them.

As described in D1.4 the iterative process for the requirements management supports the continuous refinement of scenarios and the requirements which will be performed by the project partners.

1.2 Deliverable Organization

This deliverable is organized as follows:

- Chapter 2 describes 3 scenarios, namely the baseline calculation, ecoPROSYS[®] assessment, and MSM[®] improvement.
- Chapter 3 presents lessons learned.
- Chapter 4 contains an update on the list of requirements.

2 Scenarios

2.1 Introduction to the scenarios

The current set of scenarios is the first iteration on deriving more detailed scenarios highlighting aspects of the MAESTRI platform by also taking into account the peculiarities of the four pillars of the Total Efficiency Framework namely Efficiency Framework, Lean Management, Industrial Symbiosis, and IoT Platform. The IoT platform that will help achieving the MAESTRI Platform objectives is another important factor that had to be taken into account during the creation and refinement of the scenarios.

2.2 Scenario 1 – Baseline Calculation

Pedro is production manager at an injection moulding company. The company established goals to **continuously increase sustainability and resource efficiency**. Pedro got a challenging task, namely **to improve the efficiency and eco-efficiency of one of the main processes**. He decided to use the MAESTRI platform and, as a first step, he leverages the Eco Orbit View (EOV) [Pawlik E. et al. (2016)] methodology in order to analyse the company's business process and to be able to choose potential improvement areas and identify the **unit processes**¹ that compose the **production process** under analysis. Eco Orbit View (EOV) is a simple method intended to find out improvement areas within the

8

¹ Smallest element considered for which input and output data are quantified (e.g. Raw material storage; Raw material feeding system; etc.)

production process where the company may want to simultaneously improve business and environmental performance. It is carried out in 5 steps according to D3.4 Training Materials:

1. Identify production unit processes (for a selected product family)
2. Identify Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) or Environmental Aspects relevant for each unit process
3. Identify Key Environmental Performance Indicators (KEPIs) relevant for each unit process
4. Identify links and synergies between KPIs and KEPIs
5. Prioritize improvement ideas, select potential improvement areas

Pedro is able to say that the company's business process to be improved is composed of the following unit processes:

- Raw material storage
- Raw material feeding system
- Manufacturing Process
- Transportation to Quality Control conveyor
- Quality control
- Packaging
- Final product storage

This steps set the boundaries of the process under analysis.

Furthermore, thanks to Eco Orbit View results, Pedro has identified KPIs which can be used to assess the production process regarding quality, cost and service scope, from the company's point of view. The identified KPIs are the following:

Table 1 – Eco Orbit View Variables / KPI list outcome (from the company's collaborators/managers/workers point of view)

| KPI | Target ² | Current | Scope |
|--|---------------------|---------|---------|
| Product A cycle time/shot [s] | 16,30 | 16,35 | Cost |
| Product B cycle time/shot [s] | 9,00 | 9,10 | Cost |
| Cooling water infeed temperature [°C] | 26 | 26 | Service |
| Number of parts produced (total) vs. shift plan | 97% | 98% | Service |
| Number of rejects [NOK = Not OK cycles] | <3% | 2,5% | Quality |
| Machine stoppages (without maintenance stops) | TBD ³ | TBD | Service |
| Maintenance planned stoppages [per shift] | 1 | 1 | Cost |
| Product A performance (easy open test passed) [2 samples per hour] | 100% | 99% | Quality |
| Product B calibration test [2 samples per hour] | 100% | 99,99% | Quality |
| Energy consumption per machine [kWh] | TBD ⁴ | TBD | Cost |

² Target values were set by the company, during the EOV workshop, according to their experience and knowledge. The target values reveal the optimal values from the company's top management, middle management, and the operator's point of view, for the process to run.

³ To Be Defined - Target and current values for machine stoppages have not yet been determined.

⁴ To Be Defined – Target and current values for energy consumption per machine are still to be defined.

The first contact between the MAESTRI team and the company was established, and enabled the MAESTRI team to better understand the business and the production process. The MAESTRI team is a team of experts in: i) identifying potential improvement areas; ii) identifying KPIs (reflecting company needs); iii) identifying KEPIs (reflecting environmental needs); iv) assessing process efficiency; v) assessing eco-efficiency performance through a life cycle perspective i.e. through Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) and Life Cycle Costs Analysis (LCC).

The analysis results in the indication of potential improvement areas, reflecting the needs of the company to improve both the economic and environmental performance (eco-efficiency). With this information, the MAESTRI team helps Pedro on the identification of process variables required to quantify/calculate the selected KPIs. In order to identify these variables, as well as to validate the selected KPIs to support decision making regarding the defined goals, they perform an **eco-efficiency analysis using ecoPROSYS®** tool and an **efficiency analysis using MSM®**. The goal is then to establish and define the current situation, i.e. baseline, of selected processes in terms of eco-efficiency (i.e. from economic and environmental performance point of view) and in terms of efficiency.

Pedro defines one complete production process cycle as the functional unit for the Total Efficiency Assessment before the actual data collection takes place. Pedro knows that cycle time of the production process is a critical aspect for the business, therefore he wants to evaluate the performance of each unit process per cycle.

The functional unit⁵ is defined by the user and registered on the MAESTRI Platform, therefore Pedro defines the quantification of the identified functions (performance characteristics) of the production process. The primary purpose of a functional unit is to provide a reference to which the inputs and outputs are related. This reference is necessary to ensure comparability of results, which is particularly critical when different processes are being assessed, since it is necessary to ensure that such comparisons are made on a common basis.

Thanks to the company's ICT expert André, Pedro knows that some of the identified variables can be retrieved from the production machines. More specifically, these variables are the following:

Table 2 - Data which can be retrieved from machines

| Variable | Unit |
|---|-----------|
| Total energy consumption by production machine | kWh/cycle |
| Specific energy consumption during unit process 1 | kWh/cycle |
| Energy consumption during unit process 2 | kWh/cycle |
| Energy consumption during unit process 3 | kWh/cycle |
| Specific energy consumption during unit process 4 | kWh/cycle |
| Specific energy consumption during unit process 5 | kWh/cycle |
| Specific energy consumption during unit process 6 | kWh/cycle |
| Cycle time | s/cycle |
| Cycle time for unit process 1 | s/cycle |
| Cycle time for unit process 2 | s/cycle |

⁵ As defined in ISO 14040: <https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/#iso:std:37456:en>

| Variable | Unit |
|---|--|
| Cycle time for unit process 3 | s/ cycle |
| Cycle time for unit process 4 | s/cycle |
| Cycle time for unit process 5 | s/cycle |
| Cycle time for unit process 6 | s/cycle |
| Planned downtime | min or hours / day |
| Unplanned downtime | min or hours / day |
| Total downtime | min or hours / day |
| Total time in use | min or hours / day |
| Number of stoppages | # |
| Number of stoppages due to lack of raw material | # and/or min or hours / stoppage |
| Number of stoppages due to machine maintenance | # and/or min or hours / stoppage |
| Mass or volume of material | g or mm ³ /cycle (g or mm ³ /part) |
| Total water consumption | l or m ³ /cycle |
| Water infeed temperature | °C or K |

André also told Pedro that the ERP system has lately been integrated through the MAESTRI IoT platform, and some other useful variables can be received from there (especially cost data related to the production process, financial data, e.g., GVA- Gross Value Added); the following variables are gathered:

Table 3 - Data which can be retrieved from ERP

| Variable | Unit |
|---|--------------------------------|
| Machine setup labour costs | € |
| Maintenance labour cost | € |
| Gross value added (GVA) | € |
| Earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortisation (EBITDA) | € |
| Energy costs | €/kWh |
| Material costs | €/ton |
| Number of parts produced per time (cycle time) | # (number of cavities) |
| Planned downtime | min. or hours / day |
| Number of NOK cycles | # of NOK cycles / day or shift |
| Number of NOK parts | # of NOK parts / day or shift |

After identifying these process variables for which the values were imported via the IoT platform into the MAESTRI Efficiency software, Pedro classifies them as deterministic⁶ and non-deterministic⁷. As a next step, he identifies the "value added (VA) and/or the non-value added (NVA) actions. A NVA action does not change the product in any physical or chemical manner; a VA action does in turn change. Pedro is aware that it is not possible to clearly quantify the VA and NVA for deterministic variables. He must define a target ("set point") for VA or NVA instead, keeping in mind that it is possible to quantify the total value of the variable. For the definition of VA of non-deterministic variables, Pedro defines the minimum and maximum reference value/set point, for this case Pedro considers all events

⁶ non-randomly behaved, e.g. material consumption

⁷ randomly behaved, e.g. temperature, humidity

that occur within the maximum and minimum reference value as contributing for VA and the other events as contributing for NVA.

After the finalization of these definition steps, the automatic data collection is performed by the MAESTRI IoT platform. The specified data for each cycle and for each machine is stored in the MAESTRI platform for later retrieval.

For the production process baseline calculation, process data collected in one week will be used. One week of data is considered by the company as being a representative time-frame. Pedro uses this data to define, in the total efficiency software, the set points, i.e. the set point for each variable within each unit process, using the data collected during one week and the knowledge regarding the theoretical/experienced assessment. After Pedro defines the set points, he opens the total efficiency software, and the respective GUI for ecoPROSYS® and MSM® modules to assess the eco-efficiency and efficiency, respectively. Thus, he calculates the baseline using the data collected during that week via the MAESTRI IoT Platform, and he attains reference results for both eco-efficiency and efficiency.

Pedro and his team are now ready to present the baseline results to the company management, namely the eco-efficiency ratios for the production process (e.g. total costs of the production process (€)/ total environmental influence of the production process(Pt)) and efficiency performance of the process. Pedro is able, for each variable, to compute the Unitary Efficiency Ratio (UEF) = VA/total, for the process, and subsequently quantify its efficiency.

2.3 Scenario 2 – ecoPROSYS® Assessment

Pedro launches the ecoPROSYS® module and accesses the energy material consumption per cycle (functional unit - one manufacturing cycle) for each unit process: mould closing, injection, cooling, and opening & extraction. He starts by running the performance assessment module of ecoPROSYS® where he classifies (1; 3; 5 scale) the intensity of each parameter to each eco-efficiency principle, i.e. his vision concerning how each process parameter influences the performance of the company regarding each eco-efficiency principle. As a result, ecoPROSYS® provides him a list of most suitable indicators' ratios to assess and characterise the process considering the problem and the goals.

The indicators' ratios suggested by ecoPROSYS® are shown in Table 4.

Table 4 – Set of indicators defined by Pedro to assess and present the results for the injection moulding process

| Goal | Suggested Indicators |
|--|--|
| <p>12 Pedro wants to avoid that the implementation of improvement actions on energy and material consumption affects the process productivity.</p> | <p><i>Parts produced</i> <hr/> <i>Energy consumption (kWh)</i></p> <p><i>Rejection rate</i> <hr/> <i>Material consumption (kg)</i></p> |

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>Pedro wants to assure that the implementation of improvement actions related to energy and material consumption of a certain process stage does not cause an increase of overall process environmental impacts.</p> | $\frac{\text{Energy consumption (kWh)}}{\text{Process total environmental influence}}^8$ $\frac{\text{Material consumption (kg)}}{\text{Process total environmental influence}}$ |
| <p>Pedro wants to guarantee that the implementation of improvement actions on energy and material consumption results in the improvement of process eco-efficiency.</p> | $\frac{\text{Process total costs (€)}}{\text{Process total environmental influence}}$ |

To assess and validate the suggested indicators' ratios, Pedro defines the goals for his assessment (as presented in table 4). To support the definition of these goals he looks at the available inventory that provides him information regarding the most energy-consuming operational phase. With this information Pedro validates the suggested indicators' ratios and proceeds with the eco-efficiency assessment.

On a given day, Pedro decides to use ecoPROSYS® so he gets the results and the defined indicators' figures based on online data and current energy and material consumption data. Based on these results he identifies several inefficiencies. He then identifies improvement measures and runs again ecoPROSYS® changing manually the energy and material consumption, considering the expected figures, i.e. estimation, resulting from the improvement actions. ecoPROSYS® presents a comparison between the stored results (with energy issues) and the improvement of energy and material consumption, in terms of costs, environmental influence, i.e., environmental impacts of energy and material consumed, and suggested indicators.

Pedro is now able to evaluate the eco-efficiency performance improvement potential for the defined improvement actions. Using the MAESTRI Platform, he shows to the management via the ecoPROSYS® GUI that the defined improvement actions do not affect process productivity and represents an actual decrease of process costs and environmental influence, and consequently an increase of the eco-efficiency performance of the process.

2.4 Scenario 3 – MSM® Improvement

In order to continue his assessment, Pedro launches MSM® module and sees the value-added vs. non-value added energy and material consumption for each unit process, i.e., in MSM® outcomes he accesses for each unit process the resource efficiency (VA/total) per variable (see Table 2), and also the aggregated resource efficiency which is determined by the average value of all resource efficiency values.

For operational variables, from the ERP, e.g. machine availability and amount of NOK parts; and from the injection machine e.g. temperature during injection for the machine line, he

⁸ The *Process total environmental influence* is given by the sum of the environmental influence of all production unit processes considering energy and materials consumption as well as emissions and residues.

can assess the operational efficiency. Pedro makes use of the *baseline feature* of the MAESTRI platform again.

Regarding the energy resource efficiency, he identifies several issues (inefficiencies), and he knows which unit process produces the most waste energy and can work on reducing the non-value added fraction of energy consumption. Similarly, to the eco-efficiency case (Scenario 2), Pedro can make a comparison using MSM[®] between the value-added vs. non-value added energy consumption, before and after improvements are implemented, this considering an estimation, resulting from the improvement actions potential gains.

In terms of efficiency assessment, according to MSM[®] principles, the following calculations are necessary:

- For each variable in each unit process, the fraction that adds value, and the fraction that does not add value must be clearly quantified. With these values it is possible to compute the **Unitary Efficiency Ratio (UER) = VA/total**
- The **Process Parameter Efficiency (PPE)**, of a specific variable, is calculated by the ratio between the total VA and the overall total (VA + NVA) that is placed in the system (**PPE = Total VA of the variable / Total VA + Total NVA**).
- The **Unit Process Efficiency (UPE)** is determined by average value of all efficiency values (UER within the unit process (**UPE = (∑UER/#UPE variables)**).
- After quantifying the efficiency of all unit processes (UPE), it is possible to determine the **System Total Efficiency (STE)**. This indicator, STE, is determined by the average value of all UPE values.

3 Lessons Learned

LL-01 – The creation of homogenous scenarios taking into account four domains and the 4 pillars of the MAESTRI platform proved to be more complex than envisioned. It turned out that more time needs to be spent to identify the cross-sectorial aspects of the platform and to put them into scenarios before deriving requirements. This decision was taken in order to avoid wasting efforts by implementing components prematurely which would need to be rewritten later or would even require major changes to the system architecture. The reason behind was to enable us to develop components that are universal, easily adaptable and ultimately require less rework.

4 Updated Requirements List

Table 5 contains all MAESTRI requirements which are documented in JIRA and have been quality-checked (see D1.4 Initial Requirements Report for all details on requirement's workflow).

14

It is not yet decided which of these requirements are going to be part of specification (see D1.4 for details on requirement's workflow).

Table 5 – List of Requirements

| Requirement Key | Summary | Fit Criterion | Requirement Type |
|-----------------|--|---|-------------------------------|
| MAES-1 | The platform will store the monitoring data permanently in order to access it later | Some monitoring will be stored permanently to permit advanced analysis. Those needs to be timestamped and the access to them should be provided by specific instrument (e.g. an API set or other approaches). | Functional |
| MAES-2 | The system administrator can specify the time interval for monitoring the data in order to reduce the amount of data to be processed. | For every sensor the system administrator can specify the time interval for the measurement. | Functional |
| MAES-3 | The MASTRI user can see and use data from existing systems without the need for manual data transfer | Data from relevant existing systems can be accessed through the interfaces provided by the MAESTRI IoT platform without the need to be manually inserted by users. | Functional |
| MAES-5 | A user can export data in order to re-use it in other tools (e.g. for reporting) | MAESTRI provides an export function for calculated/monitored KPIs to text/CSV files, and an export function for an eco-efficiency and efficiency PDF report. | Functional |
| MAES-9 | Downtimes of machines need to be recorded and analysed in order to optimize the machine-availability | The relevant monitoring data of each machine has been documented and the data is collected and stored accordingly. | Non-Functional -> operational |
| MAES-11 | Access to forecasting data needs to be included in production planning in order to meet delivery deadlines. | Forecast data is accessible to the production planner either based on data coming from external sources or it is derived based on the analysis of the historic production data of the processes. | Non-Functional -> operational |
| MAES-15 | The supply chain manager is able to analyze historical data about problems the supply chain in order to get decision support for future planning | Relevant historical data related to the supply-chain are collected. Historical plan and historical actual data will be compared and analyzed for deviations. | Functional |

| | | | |
|----------------|---|--|------------------------------------|
| | | Statistical deviations are presented to the user. | |
| MAES-17 | The production manager can simulate the fine-grain production scheduling in order to validate potential scheduling changes. | There is a simulation system through which a variety of production scheduling possibilities can be compared concerning their production capacity utilization figures. The higher capacity utilization can be determined using the simulation system. | Functional |
| MAES-20 | Trainings on low-cost improvement methods are available for employees in order they know how to improve the eco performance | The training material is available. | Constraint -> scope of the product |
| MAES-21 | The department manager can access a management board in order to check status of key performance and eco indicators | The user can access a management board and check for a given set of KPIs and eco indicators: values, chart with current trend vs. target value. | Functional |
| MAES-26 | Existing suite of applications needs to be better integrated in order to make them easier to use | 80% of the functionality of the process systems functions are integrated in a unified Human Machine Interface (HMI). | Non-Functional -> usability |
| MAES-28 | Reorder amount of raw material needs to be calculated automatically in order to reduce miscalculations | Calculating the reorder amount of raw material can be automated and the algorithm can be specified to match the company's need. | Functional |
| MAES-29 | Relationship between process variables and material properties need to be understood in order to support individualization of products to customer needs. | For 80% of the process variables used in the production process it is known how changes to material properties would influence the output qualities. | Non-Functional -> operational |
| MAES-32 | Energy consumption must be monitored based on the process steps in order to find potential optimization steps. | Process energy consumed is monitored for all process stages in the processes under assessment. | Non-Functional -> operational |
| MAES-35 | Management system supports eco improvements | Eco aspects are measured and eco improvements are conducted based on eco performance gaps | Constraint -> purpose |
| MAES-36 | The current value of indicators related to | all indicators that have been identified by the | Functional |

| | | | |
|----------------|--|---|-------------------------------|
| | significant environmental aspects is visualized in order for everyone involved to be able to see and know the status | organization to be relevant for the improvement of processes are visualized and filled in with current data | |
| MAES-37 | The training for an improvement method must include on the job training in order for employees to acquire practical skills | The training program for a certain improvement method includes on the job training. | Non-Functional -> performance |
| MAES-38 | The training must include a follow-up in order to aid the participants with problems that may arise after first steps of method implementation | The training program for a certain improvement method includes follow-up. | Non-Functional -> performance |
| MAES-39 | Eco aspects are integrated into the visualized performance measurement system | Eco indicators are equally visualized, updated and monitored as business KPI's. | Functional |
| MAES-40 | The user can access a "how to see waste" guideline and get help on how to uncover waste streams in the company. | A waste manager will read the brief guideline and learn a methodology to identify waste flows in his company. The guideline will provide support regarding the implementation of the methodology. | Functional |
| MAES-41 | The user can get support to characterise waste | Following the method detailed, the waste management team should be able to easily characterise waste at the right level of detail in order to enable the identification of suitable exchange opportunities. | Functional |
| MAES-42 | The user get support to identify internal / external possible re-usability of waste | Following the method detailed, a waste manager should be able to identify possible uses of the company's waste, also using the waste database provided by MAESTRI. | Functional |
| MAES-43 | Define the structure and contents for the standard efficiency and eco-efficiency reports | For each section of the standard PDF report a set of indicators and performance aspects has been identified and, these allow proper communication of the company processes in | Non-Functional -> operational |

| | | | |
|----------------|--|--|-------------------------------|
| | | terms of efficiency and eco-efficiency performance. | |
| MAES-44 | A manager can export a standard efficiency and eco-efficiency report | MAESTRI provides an export function for a standard eco-efficiency and efficiency PDF report | Functional |
| MAES-45 | Visual mapping tools | MAESTRI, via DSS (see task 5.2), will encompass Visual Analytics engine presenting different state-related views of the production operations, supporting comparative assessment of material and resource management, enabling managers to visualise the entire process as well as each unit process and the respective inputs and outputs. Moreover, managers will be able to map the process (‘‘process design’’). | Functional |
| MAES-46 | Define the information and contents for the environmental footprint | The environmental footprint of each product belonging to a given set of products can be determined. | Non-Functional -> operational |
| MAES-47 | The board and managers are able to define the company’s sustainability targets | For each company or site/plant a set of environmental and economic aspects and KPI has been identified and quantified, these allow the definition of the company’s sustainability targets. | Non-Functional -> operational |
| MAES-48 | Definition of the simulation models for assessing scenarios | For each scenario, the efficiency and eco-efficiency performance has been quantified, these results allow the company to foresee the overall performance regarding a certain scenario. | Non-Functional -> operational |
| MAES-49 | The production manager gets a prediction the best scenario in order to enhance overall efficiency and eco-efficiency | A forecast of the expected efficiency and eco-efficiency performance for different scenarios is displayed. | Functional |

| | | | |
|----------------|--|--|-------------------------------|
| MAES-50 | Definition of the optimization models for energy and resources efficiency | The adoption of an optimization tool, will enable fast generation of optimized scenarios for improvement, since improvement scenario design optimization can be very time-consuming and unmanageable task a trial-error basis. | Non-Functional -> operational |
| MAES-51 | The production manager to perform optimization simulations and optimized scenarios for materials and energy consumption, via overall efficiency and cost-saving targets | A forecast of the expected optimized scenarios is displayed. | Functional |
| MAES-52 | Prioritize options to support decisions for improvement measure (both cost-saving and efficiency improvements) | The major inefficiencies are identified and results are available, therefore the priority of each improvement action can be determined. | Functional |
| MAES-53 | Definition of the LCA impact assessment methods and databases | Environmental impacts are evaluated according to selected LCA impact assessment methods and databases | Non-Functional -> operational |
| MAES-54 | Perform Life Cycle Assessment | MAESTRI Platform provides a function for Life Cycle Assessment and user can calculate environmental impact | Functional |
| MAES-55 | Definition of the Life Cycle Costing Analysis and Value Modelling approaches | Costs and value is evaluated for all process stages in the processes under research assessment | Non-Functional -> operational |
| MAES-56 | Perform and evaluate Life Cycle Costing Analysis and Value Modelling | MAESTRI Platform provides a function for Life Cycle Costing Analysis and Value Modelling. | Functional |
| MAES-57 | Managers can evaluate, through scenario analysis, the expected costs and perform simple payback analysis, considering the cost reduction and/or reduction of waste/missuses of resources | A forecast of the expected costs and a simple payback is displayed. | Functional |
| MAES-58 | Develop models to identify and simulate appropriate consumption patterns and waste flows, leading to | A forecast of the expected consumption patterns and waste flows is displayed. | Functional |

| | | | |
|----------------|---|---|-------------------------------|
| | optimisation of materials and energy use via cost-saving optimization approach | | |
| MAES-59 | Real time metering, must be adopted to monitor energy and resource flows by adopting the Internet of Things (IoT) concept | The data collection is automated, so that the energy and resource consumption as well as other process related activities will be monitored in real time (or "near real-time"). | Functional |
| MAES-60 | MAESTRI platform needs to be defined in order to maximize the improvements in a single plant or across multiple companies, and enable more integrated and cross-sectorial interactions | A holistic approach will enable process monitoring and optimization, as well as focus on an integrated and cross-sectorial interaction that can have a greater impact within the process industry. | Non-Functional -> operational |
| MAES-61 | The production manager is able to monitor, analyse and mine efficiency performance data in order to find inefficiencies (assess overall efficiency performance using MSM® analysis) | MAESTRI is able to analyse monitored data and to identify major inefficiencies regarding energy and resource consumption. MAESTRI presents the overall efficiency performance of a production system. | Functional |
| MAES-62 | The production manager is able to monitor, analyse and mine eco-efficiency performance data in order to assess environmental and economic performance (assess overall eco-efficiency performance using ecoPROSYS®) | MAESTRI is able to analyse monitored data and to identify major environmental and economic impacts regarding energy and resource consumption. MAESTRI presents the overall eco-efficiency performance of a production system. | Functional |
| MAES-63 | Plant managers are able to implement Maestri Platform gradually, since the Platform is scalable and flexible, concerning the scope of application (i.e. can be applied for production units, single plants, single companies or multiple companies) | The Maestri Platform is available and can be used to assess the overall efficiency and eco-efficiency of production units, single plants, single companies or multiple companies | Functional |
| MAES-64 | Plant managers are able to assess Environmental | Most of industrial companies do not have significant environmental | Functional |

| | | | |
|----------------|--|---|-------------------------------|
| | Performance Evaluation (as defined by ISO 14031) | aspect indentified/defined for their processes neither certification on ISO 14001. Then, to ensure applicability of the tools on these cases, the MAESTRI platform (or its frontend applications) should provide assistance on defining significant environmental aspects. Standardised methods, namely ISO 14001, should be considered for this purpose. | |
| MAES-65 | A clear approach needs to be outlined in order to define the value added and non-value added fraction, for each energy and resource flow in order to assess overall resource and energy efficiency | The Maestri Platform is available and can be used to Quantify the NVA of each stage of the process system | Non-Functional -> operational |
| MAES-66 | Maestri Platform encompasses a methodology for modelling industrial processes, which includes resources and energy efficiency related aspects | Managers are able to perform model the process and consequently are able to perform optimization simulations | Non-Functional -> operational |
| MAES-67 | Plant managers can use the Maestri Platform in order to create an overall efficiency index and company eco-efficiency profile | The user can evaluate on a monthly basis the efficiency performance and company eco-efficiency profile. | Non-Functional -> operational |
| MAES-68 | MAESTRI's IoT platform should provide input data in order for ecoPROSYS® to calculate the eco-efficiency output | Relevant data for eco-efficiency assessment are accessible through at least one of the interfaces provided by MAESTRI IoT platform (e.g. REST API, MQTT, or other interfaces), as soon as it is made available from relevant data sources. | Functional |
| MAES-69 | MAESTRI's IoT platform should provide input data in order for MSM® to calculate the efficiency performance output | Relevant data for eco-efficiency assessment are accessible through at least one of the interfaces provided by MAESTRI IoT platform (e.g. REST API, MQTT, or other interfaces), as soon as it is made | Functional |

| | | | |
|----------------|---|--|-------------------------------|
| | | available from relevant data sources. | |
| MAES-70 | Necessary data for Maestri Platform: Material flows and costs | Actual materials that make up the final product for a particular process (primary materials) and materials that are used in the processing of a product for a particular process. Materials may be non-renewable (i.e., materials extracted from nature that are non-renewable or stock resources such as coal), renewable, or flow resources such as water. Quantification, at each stage of the process system, of "what adds value" (AV) and "what does not add value" (NVA) to a product or service. | Non-Functional -> operational |
| MAES-71 | Necessary data for Maestri Platform: Energy flows and costs | Process energy and pre-combustion energy (i.e., energy expended to extract, process, refine, and deliver a usable fuel for combustion) consumed and/or generated by any process in the business case. Quantification, at each stage of the process system, of "what adds value" (AV) and "what does not add value" (NVA) to a product or service. | Non-Functional -> operational |
| MAES-72 | Necessary data for Maestri Platform: Water flows and costs | Water consumed and/or generated by any process within the business case, including effluents. Quantification, at each stage of the process system, of "what adds value" (AV) and "what does not add value" (NVA) to a product or service. | Non-Functional -> operational |
| MAES-73 | Necessary data for Maestri Platform: Emissions to Air | Air outputs represent the releases to the environment of gaseous or particulates from a point or diffuse source of any stage of business case, after passing through | Non-Functional -> operational |

| | | | |
|----------------|---|--|-------------------------------|
| | | emission control devices, if applicable. | |
| MAES-74 | Necessary data for Maestri Platform: Emissions to water | Water outputs represent liquid surface and groundwater discharges, from point or diffuse sources of any stage of business case, after passing through any water treatment devices. | Non-Functional -> operational |
| MAES-75 | Necessary data for Maestri Platform: Emissions to soil | Soil emissions represent discharges chemical substances that are considered pollutants to soil from point or diffuse sources of any stage of business case. | Non-Functional -> operational |
| MAES-76 | Necessary data for Maestri Platform: Wastes | Represents the mass of a product or material, either solids or liquids, that are deposited as hazardous or non-hazardous waste, either before or after treatment (e.g., incineration, composting), recovery, or recycling processes. Quantity/volume of waste as well as route/treatment. | Non-Functional -> operational |
| MAES-77 | Necessary data for Maestri Platform: Intermediate Products | Products or substance outputs from a process that are received as input by a subsequent unit process within the business case, enabling managers to keep track of the intermediate products. | Non-Functional -> operational |
| MAES-78 | Necessary data for Maestri Platform: Equipment data and cost | Includes data on equipment used in the different processes within the business case, working related costs, including amortization, opportunity cost, etc. | Non-Functional -> operational |
| MAES-79 | Necessary data for Maestri Platform: Labour cost | Direct and indirect (benefits and payroll taxes) labour costs | Non-Functional -> operational |
| MAES-80 | Necessary data for Maestri Platform: Maintenance Activities and Costs | Maintenance activities schedule and costs related to any process and/or equipment or infrastructure used in a process within the business case. | Non-Functional -> operational |

| | | | |
|----------------|--|---|-------------------------------|
| MAES-81 | Production system unused materials, energy and resources should be quantified | The aim is to exploit possible synergies with other production systems, as well as to incorporate the identification exercise into the daily routine of decision making in every company. | Non-Functional -> operational |
| MAES-82 | Environmental performance evaluation should be used for simulation | the view of the company and the way it understands the production system should be included in scenarios simulation. | Functional |
| MAES-84 | The production manager selects a KPI in order to monitor it | The production manager is able to select KPIs that will be monitored by the MAESTRI platform. | Functional |
| MAES-85 | The production manager is able to connect a KPI with a data source in order to monitor that KPI | The production manager is able to connect KPIs to a data source. | Functional |
| MAES-86 | MAESTRI Platform user should be able to select the significant environmental aspects. | MAESTRI frontend applications user is able to select high environmental significant aspects. | Functional |
| MAES-87 | The MAESTRI platform should be able to present the environmental impact related to each environmental aspect | MAESTRI platform user is able to import or calculate the environmental impact related to each environmental aspect by using the frontend applications | Functional |
| MAES-88 | The MAESTRI platform user should be able select eco-efficiency ratios. | MAESTRI frontend applications user is able to select a limited number of eco-efficiency ratios. | Functional |
| MAES-89 | The MAESTRI platform should provide assistance on defining eco-efficiency ratios. | MAESTRI frontend applications user is able to define eco-efficiency ratios. | Functional |
| MAES-90 | The MAESTRI platform user should be able select different methods to quantify environmental influence, damage and impacts. | MAESTRI frontend applications user is able to define different methods and categories to assess environmental performance. | Functional |
| MAES-91 | The MAESTRI platform user should be able assess/monitor the environmental influence considering cradle-to-gate or gate-to-gate analysis. | MAESTRI frontend applications user is able to select different scopes for environmental influence calculation. | Functional |

| | | | |
|-----------------|---|---|-----------------------------------|
| MAES-92 | The MAESTRI platform user should be able to assess the intensity of each process/parameter/aspect to each eco-efficiency performance. | MAESTRI frontend applications user is able to assess the intensity of each process/parameter/aspect to each eco-efficiency principle. | Functional |
| MAES-93 | The MAESTRI platform user should be able to perform sensitivity analysis to the results. | MAESTRI frontend applications user is able to perform sensitivity analysis of the results. | Functional |
| MAES-94 | The MAESTRI platform user should be able to simulate changes in the process and create alternative scenarios. | MAESTRI frontend applications allows simulation and creation of "what if" scenarios. | Functional |
| MAES-96 | The MAESTRI platform should be able to present different KPIs and eco-efficiency ratios results according to the different needs of the different level of the organisation | It is possible to select and show different efficiency and eco-efficiency results for shop floor and management | Functional |
| MAES-97 | The MAESTRI platform should allow an integrated/simultaneous analysis of efficiency and eco-efficiency - Total Efficiency Index | User is able to quantify TEI | Functional |
| MAES-98 | Database of LCA module should be periodically updated. | User has the possibility to have updated LCA database | Non-Functional -> maintainability |
| MAES-99 | Defined KPIs, eco-efficiency ratios and efficiency performance should be calculated using company's own data. | | Functional |
| MAES-100 | MAESTRI platform user can introduce manually data related to the process | User is able to manually input data | Functional |
| MAES-101 | MAESTRI Platform user should be able to define targets for efficiency assessment | MAESTRI frontend applications allows target setting via manual data insertion. | Functional |
| MAES-102 | MAESTRI Platform user should be to assess the environmental influence related to VA and NVA portions | MAESTRI frontend applications allows user to quantify the VA and NVA environmental influence | Functional |
| MAES-103 | MAESTRI Platform user should be able to visualise MSM [®] efficiency dashboards | MAESTRI frontend applications show the efficiency dashboards | Non-Functional -> look and feel |
| MAES-104 | MAESTRI Platform should not allow efficiency values over 100% (MSM [®]) | No efficiency values over 100% | Functional |

| | | | |
|-----------------|--|---|-----------------------------|
| MAES-105 | MAESTRI Platform should enable the user to consecutively aggregate the efficiency performance and eco-efficiency | MAESTRI frontend applications allows user to calculate efficiency and eco-efficiency of, for instance, a plant by integrating the results of the several production lines | Functional |
| MAES-106 | "The MAESTRI Platform should be able to model business processes using Business Process Based Monitoring to monitor relevant process variables" | MAESTRI frontend applications allows user to model the process | Functional |
| MAES-107 | The MAESTRI Platform should be able to map data coming from sensors and production systems to process steps | Process can be monitored in real-time and the data can be used for a more detailed analysis | Functional |
| MAES-108 | The MSM [®] should be able to assess resource and operational efficiency | Assess the efficiency operational and resource efficiency | Functional |
| MAES-109 | The MAESTRI platform should be able to provide GUI/dashboards, containing KPI's/information resulting from the IoT platform, MSM [®] , ecoPROSYS [®] or Efficiency Framework | Provide process "raw data" to user (e.g. cycle time s/cycle) | Non-Functional -> usability |
| MAES-110 | IoT platform should be able to process data | Provide process "raw data" to user (e.g. cycle time s/cycle) | Functional |
| MAES-111 | MAESTRI Platform user should be able to assess the costs related to VA and NVA portions | MAESTRI frontend applications allows user to quantify the VA and NVA costs | Functional |
| MAES-112 | MAESTRI IoT Platform has to provide access to EUROMAP 63 data | Recorded data from the Euromap63 interface is provided as event (e.g. by MQTT) and stored in permanently in a datastore. Both the event data and the historical data should be provided by a webservice interface. | Functional |
| MAES-113 | The user can access a waste database in order to find out what similar companies (i.e. companies with the same NACE code) have done to implement Industrial Symbiosis | Entries in the database are classified according to the NACE codes of the companies taking part to the exchange, so the user can enter it with his/her NACE code and find exchanges implemented by similar companies. | Functional |
| MAES-114 | The user can access a waste database where | The database has a "Use" field for each entry | Functional |

| | | | |
|-----------------|--|---|-----------------------------------|
| | he/she can find what kind of material might be suitable to his purposes and what kind of company might be a supplier. | allowing the companies to look for a specific use and therefore to extract information regarding the materials used in each case. | |
| MAES-115 | The user can link the relevant flows he/she has individuated in the waste database to the corresponding case studies in the library of case studies. | A unique numerical ID is assigned to each case and to each source in the library of case studies, and those IDs are recalled for each flow in the waste database, so that the user can always easily identify them. | Functional |
| MAES-116 | The user can access a library of case studies to gather ideas on how Industrial Symbiosis has been implemented and how it worked in different case studies. | The library of case studies contains information regarding different Industrial Symbiosis implementations involving companies from different industrial sectors. | Functional |
| MAES-117 | The user can search the library using keywords related to his/her country, main challenges in resource efficiency and barriers to overcome. | The user can keyword search the country, challenge or barriers in the library of case studies and find corresponding cases, from which he can extract related information. | Functional |
| MAES-118 | The user can link relevant cases he/she has individuated library of case studies to the original sources, in order to find out more. | The user can use the link provided in the library in order to find the original source of data. | Functional |
| MAES-119 | The user can send comments and suggestions regarding the library of case studies and waste database structure and contents to the data owner. | After accessing the library/database, the user is automatically redirected to a comments/suggestions form. | Non-Functional -> maintainability |
| MAES-120 | The user can send his/her own Industrial Symbiosis case and waste flows to the data owner, in order to be added to the library of case studies and waste database. | After accessing the library/database, the user is automatically redirected to a "Send us your own case/waste flows" form. | Non-Functional -> maintainability |

References

Pawlik, E. et al., 2016. Lean & Green, How to Encourage Industries to Establish ProEnvironmental Behaviour. In Y. M. Goh & K. Case, eds. *Advances in Transdisciplinary Engineering*. Loughborough, pp. 369–374.